

Gradient Degeneracy  
CDD, 2005 October 2

Simplified data model:

$$S = G(i)*B(t) + G(i)*M(i, t) + O(i)$$

S = observed signal (“rawdata” in sharcsolve)

G(i) = gain of pixel i (“gain” in sharcsolve)

B(t) = atmospheric background emission at time t (“background” in sharcsolve)

M(i, t) = celestial source map (“image” in sharcsolve)

O(i) = offset of pixel i; electronic in nature (“offset” in sharcsolve)

To demonstrate gradient degeneracy in one axis (x), substitute:

$$M' = M - k*x \quad (k = \text{constant})$$

$$O' = O + G*k*s*i \quad (s = \text{pixel separation})$$

$$B' = B + k*x_0(t) \quad (x_0 = \text{position of center of array})$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} S' &= GB' + GM' + O' \\ &= GB + GM + O + Gkx_0 - Gkx + Gksi \\ &= S + Gk(x_0 - x + si) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x(i, t) = x_0(t) + si$ :

$$S' = S$$

Therefore, a gradient can be added to source map M without changing the observed signal S.

Observation:

Spatial gradients are removed in the simplified initial solution, which assumes  $M = 0$ . More or less, the gradient remains unchanged in later iterations without additional rules (e.g., solution #2 below). In the case of a chopped dual beam image with a bright source, the observed data has a clear gradient in the direction of the chop.

Solutions to gradient degeneracy:

- 1) Put boundary conditions on map (possible with `-rz` option in sharcsolve).
- 2) Remove gradients from background term, i.e., enforce  $\langle Bx_0 \rangle = 0$ . Now standard in sharcsolve.

Other known degeneracies:

- 1) arbitrary DC (could appear in M, O, or B). Solution: boundary condition (-rz), or  $\langle M \rangle = 0$